

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,487	07/22/2008	David Dinauer	IVGN 607	1338
52059 LIFE TECHNO	7590 01/22/201 OLOGIES CORPORAT	EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLEVATE P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, NN 55402			CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10, 111 00 102		1637	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,487	DINAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Ctatue			

Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2008				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-19}}$ are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	tion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is red	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received in Application No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.			
Attachmen	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) matter Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			
S. Patent and T TOL-326 (R	Trademark Office Rev. 08-06) Office Action Sum	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100111			

Application/Control Number: 10/595,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

 This application is a 371 of PCT/US04/07925. For applications filed under 371, PCT rules for lack of unity apply.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-14, 18-19, drawn to a primer set for identifying a killer-cell immunoglobulinlike receptor allele (KIR) and a kit.

Group II, claims 15-17, drawn to a method for identifying a KIR allele.

These inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The International search report provides prior art reference (Shilling et al., J Immunol., Vol. 168, pp. 2307-2315, 2002 and Chan, J Experimental Med., Vol. 197, No. 2, January 2003) which anticipates claim 1 and lacks special technical feature that binds all the groups together. Thus Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or corresponding technical feature so as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Application/Control Number: 10/595,487 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §

821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the

patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to

final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection

are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR

1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1637

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an
election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR
1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/595,487

Page 5

Art Unit: 1637